arXiv:1612.03857v1 [math.OA] 12 Dec 2016

# OPERATOR EQUATIONS $AX + YB = C$ AND $AXA^* + BYB^* = C$ IN HILBERT $C^*$-MODULES

Z. MOUSAVI, R. ESKANDARI, M. S. MOSLEHIAN, AND F. MIRZAPOUR

Abstract. Let $A, B$ and $C$ be adjointable operators on a Hilbert $C^*$-module $\mathscr{E}$. Giving a suitable version of the celebrated Douglas theorem in the context of Hilbert $C^*$-modules, we present the general solution of the equation $AX + YB = C$ when the ranges of $A, B$ and $C$ are not necessarily closed. We examine a result of Fillmore and Williams in the setting of Hilbert $C^*$-modules. Moreover, we obtain some necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of a solution for $AXA^* + BYB^* = C$. Finally, we deduce that there exist nonzero operators $X, Y \geq 0$ and $Z$ such that $AXA^* + BYB^* = CZ$, when $A, B$ and $C$ are given subject to some conditions.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Recently several operator equations have been extended from matrices to infinite dimensional spaces, i.e., Hilbert spaces and Hilbert $C^*$-modules; see [11] and references therein. Recall that the notion of Hilbert $C^*$-module is a natural generalization of that of Hilbert space arising by replacing the field of scalars $\mathbb{C}$ by a $C^*$-algebra.

Generalized inverses are useful tools for investigation of solutions of operator equations in the setting of Hilbert $C^*$-modules but these inverses need the strong condition of closedness of ranges of considered operators. Fang et al. [6, 7] have studied the solvability of operator equations without the closedness condition on ranges of operators by employing a generalization of a known theorem of Douglas [4, Theorem 1] in the framework of Hilbert $C^*$-modules. In their results, concentration is based on the idea of using more general (orthogonal) projections instead of projections such as $AA^\dagger$. They investigated the equations $AX = B$ [6, Theorem 1.1], $A^*XB + B^*X^*A = C$ [7, Corollary 2.8] and $AXB = C$ [7, Theorem 3.4].

Inspired by Fang et al., we investigate the solution of equations $AX + YB = C$ and $AXA^* + BYB^* = C$ without the condition of closedness of ranges. This paper is organized as follows. First, we recall some basic information about Hilbert $C^*$-modules. In Section 2, we present an example that shows that the conditions $CC^* \leq \lambda AA^*$ for some $\lambda > 0$ and $\mathcal{R}(C) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$ are not equivalent in the setting

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A24, 46L08, 47A05, 47A62.

*Key words and phrases.* Hilbert $C^*$-module; Operator equation; Solution; Orthogonally complemented.

of Hilbert $C^*$-modules, in general. It shows that Theorem 1.1 of [6] is not true in the current form. Instead, we present a suitable revision of it and provide some variants of it. The equation $AX+YB=C$ is studied in Section 3 and we present a general solution for it. In addition, we slightly extend a result of Fillmore and Williams [9] to Hilbert $C^*$-modules and establish some necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of a solution for $AXA^*+BYB^*=C$. Finally, we show under some conditions that there exist nonzero (nontrivial) positive operators $X$, $Y$ and a nonzero operator $Z$ such that $AXA^*+BYB^*=CZ$.

Throughout the paper, $\mathscr{A}$ denotes a $C^*$-algebra. A Hilbert $C^*$-module is a right $\mathscr{A}$-module equipped with an $\mathscr{A}$-valued inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : \mathscr{E}\times\mathscr{E}\to\mathscr{A}$ such that the induced norm $\|x\|=\|\langle x,x\rangle\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is complete. An inner-product $\mathscr{A}$-module $\mathscr{E}$ has an "$\mathscr{A}$-valued norm" $|\cdot|$, defined by $|x|=\langle x,x\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Suppose that $\mathscr{E}$ and $\mathscr{F}$ are Hilbert $C^*$-modules. Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E},\mathscr{F})$ be the set of all bounded $\mathscr{A}$-linear maps $A:\mathscr{E}\to\mathscr{F}$ for which there is a map $A^*:\mathscr{F}\to\mathscr{E}$, the adjoint of $A$, such that $\langle Ax,y\rangle=\langle x,A^*y\rangle$ $(x\in\mathscr{E},y\in\mathscr{F})$. We use $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E})$ to denote the $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E},\mathscr{E})$. If $A\in\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E})$ has closed range, then the Moore-Penrose inverse of $A$ ([12]), denoted by $A^\dagger$, is defined as the unique element of $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E})$ such that

$$AA^\dagger A=A,\quad A^\dagger AA^\dagger=A^\dagger,\quad (A^\dagger A)^*=A^\dagger A,\quad (AA^\dagger)^*=AA^\dagger.$$

We use $\mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\mathcal{N}(A)$ for the range and the null space of an operator $A$, respectively. We say that a closed submodule $\mathscr{F}$ of a Hilbert $C^*$-module $\mathscr{E}$ is orthogonally complemented if $\mathscr{E}=\mathscr{F}\oplus\mathscr{F}^\perp$, where $\mathscr{F}^\perp=\{x\in\mathscr{E}:\langle x,y\rangle=0$ for all $y\in\mathscr{F}\}$. Evidently a Hilbert $C^*$-submodule $\mathscr{F}$ of a Hilbert $C^*$-module $\mathscr{E}$ is orthogonally complemented if and only if there exists a projection $P$ on $\mathscr{E}$, whose range is $\mathscr{F}$ and $\mathcal{R}(P)\oplus\mathcal{N}(P)=\mathscr{E}$. The following theorem is known.

**Theorem 1.1.** ([10, Theorem 3.2]). *Let $A\in\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E},\mathscr{F})$. Then*

(1) *$\mathcal{R}(A)$ is closed if and only if $\mathcal{R}(A^*)$ is closed, and in this case, $\mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(A^*)$ are orthogonally complemented with $\mathcal{R}(A)=\mathcal{N}(A^*)^\perp$ and $\mathcal{R}(A^*)=\mathcal{N}(A)^\perp$.*
(2) *$\mathcal{N}(A)=\mathcal{N}(|A|)$, $\mathcal{N}(A^*)=\mathcal{R}(A)^\perp$ and $\mathcal{N}(A^*)^\perp=\mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp\perp}\supseteq\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$.*

For any $A\in\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E},\mathscr{F})$, if $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ is orthogonally complemented, then $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}^\perp=\mathcal{N}(A)$ and the orthogonal decomposition $\mathscr{E}=\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}\oplus\mathcal{N}(A)$ is valid. Let $P_{A^*}$ be the projection of $\mathscr{E}$ onto $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$. Then $P_{A^*}A^*=A^*$ and $N_AA^*=0$, where $N_A=I-P_{A^*}$, where $I$ denotes the identity operator on $\mathcal{E}$; cf. [10, p. 21].

The so-called "reduced solution" that is defined in the following Theorem will be used throughout the paper.

**Theorem 1.2.** ([7, Theorem 3.1]). *Let $A\in\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E},\mathscr{F})$, $B\in\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{G},\mathscr{H})$ and $C\in\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{G},\mathscr{F})$. Suppose that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B)}$ are orthogonally complemented. If*

$$\mathcal{R}(C)\subseteq\mathcal{R}(A)\quad\text{and}\quad\overline{\mathcal{R}(C^*)}\subseteq\mathcal{R}(B^*)$$
$$(\text{or}\quad\overline{\mathcal{R}(C)}\subseteq\mathcal{R}(A)\quad\text{and}\quad\mathcal{R}(C^*)\subseteq\mathcal{R}(B^*)),$$

*then $AXB = C$ has a unique solution $D \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{E})$ such that $\mathcal{R}(D) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(A)^\perp$ and $\mathcal{R}(D^*) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(B^*)^\perp$, which is called the reduced solution, and the general solution to $AXB = C$ is of the form*

$$X = D + N_A V_1 + V_2 N_{B^*},$$

*where $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{E})$.*

## 2. On a result of Fang et al.

The condition of having an orthogonal complement for the closure of a range is weaker than that of having a closed range through solving operator equations. The following example gives an operator acting on a Hilbert $C^*$-module, which does not have closed range but the closure of its range is orthogonally complemented.

**Example 2.1.** Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and $\mathscr{A}$ be a unital $C^*$-algebra. Recall that the Hilbert $\mathscr{A}$-module $\oplus\mathscr{A}_i$, where each $\mathscr{A}_i$ is a copy of $\mathscr{A}$ consists of all $(a_i) \in \oplus\mathscr{A}_i$ such that $\sum\langle a_i, a_i\rangle$ is norm-convergent in $\mathscr{A}$. Suppose that $T : \oplus\mathscr{A}_i \longrightarrow \oplus\mathscr{A}_i$ is defined by $(a_i) \mapsto (0, \frac{1}{1}a_2, 0, \frac{1}{2}a_4, 0, \cdots)$. Let 1 be the unit element of $C^*$-algebra $\mathscr{A}$ and $x_{2n} = (1, 1, \cdots, 1, 0, 0, \cdots)$, whose first $2n$ entries are 1 and the rest are 0. We have

$$T(x_{2n}) = (0, 1, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \cdots, \frac{1}{n}, 0, 0, \cdots) \in \mathcal{R}(T).$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we get $T(x_{2n}) \to (0, 1, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0, \cdots)$, which does not belong to $\mathcal{R}(T)$ because if there exists an element $z = (b_i) \in \oplus\mathscr{A}_i$ such that $T(z) = (0, 1, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0, \cdots)$, then $b_i = 1$ for all $i$, which gives rise to a contradiction due to $\sum\langle 1, 1\rangle$ is not convergent. Thus $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is not closed.

Next, we show that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$ is orthogonally complemented. For any $(c_i) \in \oplus\mathscr{A}_i$, we have

$$(c_i) = (c_1, 0, c_3, 0, \cdots) + (0, c_2, 0, c_4, 0, \cdots),$$

where $(c_1, 0, c_3, 0, \cdots) \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}^\perp$. Finally, it is sufficient to put $y_{2n} = (0, c_2, 0, 2c_4, 0, \cdots, nc_{2n}, 0, 0, \cdots)$ and note that $T(y_{2n}) \to (0, c_2, 0, c_4, 0, \cdots) \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$. Thus we have an operator acting on a Hilbert $C^*$-module, which does not have closed range but the closure of its range is orthogonally complemented.

We remind the following result of Fang et al. and observe that this result needs some revision.

[6, Theorem 1.1]. *Let $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{G}, \mathscr{F})$, $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ be orthogonally complemented. The following statements are equivalent:*

1. *$CC^* \leq \lambda AA^*$ for some $\lambda > 0$;*
2. *There exists $\mu > 0$ such that $\|C^*z\| \leq \mu\|A^*z\|$ for any $z \in \mathscr{F}$;*
3. *There exists $D \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{G}, \mathscr{E})$ such that $AD = C$, i.e., $AX = C$ has a solution;*

(4) $\mathcal{R}(C) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$.

*In this case, there exists a unique operator $X$ satisfying $\mathcal{R}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$, which is called the reduced solution and is denoted by $D$ and defined as follows:*

$$D = P_{A^*} A^{-1} C\,, \quad D^* \mid_{\mathcal{N}(A)} = 0\,, \quad D^* A^* y = C^* y \quad (y \in \mathscr{F}), \tag{2.1}$$

*where $A^{-1}$ does not refer to the inverse of $A$ but to the expression of inverse image.*

Carefully checking the proof of [6, Theorem 1.1] shows that there is a gap in it. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a Hilbert $C^*$-module. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{H})$ be given such that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ is orthogonally complemented. The authors of [6] proved (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) by introducing $D$ as (2.1), where the notation $A^{-1}$ stands for the inverse image rather than the inverse of $A$. But if $\mathcal{R}(C)$ is not contained in $\mathcal{R}(A)$, then $A^{-1}C$ is meaningless, which leads to the wrong way to well define $D$ as above. The same problem appears in the proof of (2) $\Rightarrow$ (4) in Theorem 1.1 of [6]. It can be deduced from the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [6] that definitely

- Item (4) $\Leftrightarrow$ Item (3);
- Item (3)$\Rightarrow$ Item (1);
- Item (1)$\Rightarrow$ Item (2).

The next example shows that (2) and (4) are not equivalent.

**Example 2.2.** Let $\mathscr{A} = C[0,1]$ and let $\mathscr{M} = \{f \in \mathscr{A}, f(0) = 0\}$ be its maximal ideal regarded as a Hilbert $\mathscr{A}$-module. We define $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{A})$ and $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{A})$ by

$$(Af)(\lambda) = \lambda f(\lambda) \qquad (f \in \mathscr{M})$$

and

$$(Cf)(\lambda) = \lambda f(\lambda) \qquad (f \in \mathscr{A}).$$

We have $C^* = C$ and $(A^* f)(\lambda) = \lambda f(\lambda)$ $(f \in \mathscr{A})$. Clearly $\|C^*(f)\| \leq \|A^*(f)\|$ but $\mathcal{R}(C) \nsubseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$, since for $f_0(\lambda) = \lambda$ we have $f_0 \in \mathcal{R}(C) \setminus \mathcal{R}(A)$. Now we show that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ is orthogonally complemented. It is enough to show that $\mathcal{R}(A^*)$ is dense in $\mathscr{M}$: Let $f \in \mathscr{M}$, and define $f_n \in \mathscr{A}$ by

$$f_n(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\lambda)}{\lambda} & \lambda \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1] \\ n f(\frac{1}{n}) & \lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{n}] \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|A^*f_n - f\| &= \sup_{\lambda\in[0,1]} |A^*f_n(\lambda) - f(\lambda)| \\ &= \sup_{\lambda\in[0\frac{1}{n}]} \left|n\lambda f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) - f(\lambda)\right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\lambda\in[0\frac{1}{n}]} \left|n\lambda f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right| + \sup_{\lambda\in[0\frac{1}{n}]} |f(\lambda)| \\ &\leq \left|f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right| + |f(\lambda_n)| \qquad (\text{ for some } \lambda_n \in [0, 1/n])\end{aligned}$$

It follows from $\lim_n f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \lim_n f(\lambda_n) = f(0) = 0$ that $\|A^*f_n - f\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$. Hence $A^*f_n \to f$ as $n \to \infty$ in $\mathscr{M}$.

Now, we restate [6, Theorem 1.1] in another form.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{G}, \mathscr{F})$, $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$. Suppose that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ is orthogonally complemented. The following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *$\mathcal{R}(C) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$;*
(2) *There exists $D \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{G}, \mathscr{E})$ such that $AD = C$, i.e., $AX = C$ has a solution.*

*And if one of above statements holds, then*

$$CC^* \leq \lambda AA^* \text{ for some } \lambda > 0.$$

*In this case, there exists a unique operator $X$ satisfying $\mathcal{R}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(A)^\perp$, which is called the reduced solution, denoted by $D$, and is defined as follows:*

$$D = P_{A^*}A^{-1}C\,, \quad D^*\,|_{\mathcal{N}(A)} = 0\,, \quad D^*A^*y = C^*y \quad (y \in \mathscr{F}),$$

*where $A^{-1}$ does not refer to the inverse of $A$ but is the expression of inverse image.*

This gap encourages us to find a solution for the operator equation $AX = C$ under other conditions. We would state the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let $A, C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E})$. Suppose that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(C^*)}$ are orthogonally complemented in $\mathscr{E}$. If $CC^* = \lambda AA^*$ for some $\lambda > 0$, then $AX = C$ has a solution.*

*Proof.* Let $D : \mathcal{R}(A^*) \to \mathcal{R}(C^*)$ be defined by $D(A^*z) = C^*z$ $(z \in \mathscr{E})$. By assumption, we have $CC^* = \lambda AA^*$, so

$$\|C^*z\| = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\|A^*z\| \quad \text{for any } z \in \mathscr{E}.$$

Hence $D$ is a well-defined bounded linear operator. So we can extend $D$ to $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ which is denoted by the same $D$. Then

$$\tilde{D}(y) = \begin{cases} D(y) & y \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}; \\ 0 & y \in \mathcal{N}(A), \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

is well-defined and $\tilde{D}A^* = C^*$. Similarly, there is a bounded linear map $\tilde{D}'$ vanishing on $\mathcal{N}(C)$ such that $\tilde{D}'C^* = A^*$. It follows from

$$\langle \tilde{D}A^*x, C^*y\rangle = \langle C^*x, C^*y\rangle = \langle x, CC^*y\rangle = \langle x, \lambda AA^*y\rangle = \langle A^*x, \lambda \tilde{D}'C^*y\rangle$$

that $\tilde{D}$ is adjointable and $\tilde{D}^* = \lambda\tilde{D}'$. Hence $A\tilde{D}^* = C$. □

By using the above theorem we deduce the following result.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let $A, C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E})$. Suppose that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(C^*)}$ are orthogonally complemented in $\mathscr{E}$. If $AA^* = \lambda CC^*$ for some $\lambda > 0$, then $\mathcal{R}(A) = \mathcal{R}(C)$.*

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E})$. Suppose that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(|T^*|)}$ are orthogonally complemented in $\mathscr{E}$. Then $\mathcal{R}(T) = \mathcal{R}(|T^*|)$.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to put $A = T$, $C = |T^*|$ and $\lambda = 1$ in Corollary 2.5. □

## 3. Solutions to $AX + YB = C$ and $AXA^* + BYB^* = C$

The matrix equations $AX - YB = C$ and $AXB - CYD = E$ are studied by Baksalary and Kala in [1] and [2], respectively, in which generalized inverses have been used to obtain some necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution. It is well-known that the matrix equation $AX + YB = C$ has a solution if and only if

$$(I - AA^\dagger)C(I - B^\dagger B) = 0\,, \tag{3.1}$$

and the general solution is of the form

$$X = A^\dagger C + A^\dagger ZB + (I - AA^\dagger)W, \quad Y = (I - AA^\dagger)CB^\dagger + (I - AA^\dagger)ZBB^\dagger - Z\,,$$

where $Z$ and $W$ are arbitrary matrices [1].

In the following, we shall investigate the solution of above equations with adjointable operators in the setting of Hilbert $C^*$-modules when neither $\mathcal{R}(A)$ nor $\mathcal{R}(B)$ is necessarily closed. First, we consider the equation $AX + YB = 0$.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E})$. Suppose that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B^*)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B)}$ are orthogonally complemented. Then the operators*

$$X_h = N_A W_1 + W_2 P_{B^*}, \quad Y_h = P_A W_3 + W_4 N_{B^*}, \tag{3.2}$$

*satisfy the equation $AX + YB = 0$, where $W_1, W_2$, $W_3$ and $W_4$ are arbitrary operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E})$ such that $AW_2P_{B^*} + P_AW_3B = 0$. Moreover, any solution of $AX + YB = 0$ is of the form (3.2).*

*Proof.* Since

$$\begin{aligned} A(N_AW_1 + W_2P_{B^*}) + (P_AW_3 + W_4N_{B^*})B \\ &= AN_AW_1 + AW_2P_{B^*} + P_AW_3B + W_4N_{B^*}B \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

so $X_h$ and $Y_h$ satisfy Equation (3.2). Now we assume that there exist $X$ and $Y$ such that $AX + YB = 0$. So we have $AXN_B = 0$. By Theorem 1.2, there exist $W_1$ and $W_2$ such that $X = N_AW_1 + W_2N_{N_B}$. Note that $P_{N_B}$ is the projection on $\overline{\mathcal{R}(N_B)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(N_B)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(I - P_{B^*})} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(B^*)}^{\perp}$, so $N_{N_B} = I - P_{N_B} = P_{B^*}$. Thus $X = N_AW_1 + W_2P_{B^*}$.
Similarly, $N_{A^*}YB = 0$ has a solution. Hence, there exist $W_3$ and $W_4$ such that $Y = N_{N_{A^*}}W_3 + W_4N_{B^*} = P_AW_3 + W_4N_{B^*}$. □

*Remark* 3.2. Note that it is easy to find a suitable form for $W_2$ and $W_3$. For example, if we put $W_2 = -P_{A^*}W'B$ and $W_3 = AW'P_B$, where $W'$ is an arbitrary operator, then we have $AW_2P_{B^*} + P_AW_3B = -AP_{A^*}W'BP_{B^*} + P_AAW'P_BB = -AW'B + AW'B = 0$.

We are ready to state our first main result regarding the following equation

$$AX + YB = C. \tag{3.3}$$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E})$. Suppose $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B^*)}$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B)}$ are orthogonally complemented. If $\mathcal{R}(CN_B) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(P_{B^*}C^*) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B^*)$, then Equation (3.3) has the solution $X = X_h + X_p$ and $Y = Y_h + Y_p$, where $X_h$ and $Y_h$ are defined in (3.2), and $X_p$ and $Y_p$ are solutions of $AX = P_ACN_B$ and $B^*Y^* = P_{B^*}C^*$, respectively.*
*Furthermore, if Equation (3.3) has a solution, then the solution is of the form $X$ and $Y$ above.*

*Proof.* By the assumption, we have $\mathcal{R}(CN_B) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$. Therefore, $P_ACN_B = CN_B$. So that $(I - P_A)CN_B = 0$. Thus $N_{A^*}CN_B = 0$, which means that $(I - P_A)C(I - P_{B^*}) = 0$. It follows that

$$P_ACN_B + CP_{B^*} = C. \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, since $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$ is orthogonally complemented and $P_A$ is the projection onto $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$, so $\mathcal{R}(P_ACN_B) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$. Hence, due to Theorem 2.3, the equation $AX = P_ACN_B$ has a reduced solution. Similarly, since $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B)}$ is orthogonally complemented and $\mathcal{R}(P_{B^*}C^*) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B^*)$, according to Theorem 2.3, the equation $B^*Y^* = P_{B^*}C^*$ has a reduced solution. In fact, Theorem 2.3 gives the following solutions

$$X_p = P_{A^*}A^{-1}P_ACN_B \qquad \text{and} \qquad Y_p^* = P_B(B^*)^{-1}P_{B^*}C^*.$$

for $AX = P_ACN_B$ and $B^*Y^* = P_{B^*}C^*$, respectively. From (3.4) we deduce that

$$AX_p + Y_pB = P_ACN_B + CP_{B^*} = C.$$

Therefore, the general solution of Equation (3.3) is $X = X_p + X_h$ and $Y = Y_p + Y_h$. Note that, according to Theorem 3.1, $X_h$ and $Y_h$ satisfies $AX + YB = 0$ with suitable $W_2$ and $W_3$ mentioned in Remark 3.2.
Now, assume that there exist $X$ and $Y$ such that $AX + YB = C$, so $AXN_B = CN_B$. Since $\mathcal{R}(CN_B) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}(N_BC^*)} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{R}(N_B)} = \mathcal{R}(N_B)$ (since $N_B = I - P_{B^*}$ is a projection) and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(N_B)}$ are orthogonally complemented, the conditions of Theorem 1.2 are covered. Thus the reduced solution of $AXN_B = CN_B$ is $D$ such that $\mathcal{R}(D) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(A)^\perp$ and $\mathcal{R}(D^*) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(N_B)^\perp = \mathcal{N}(B)$, which is denoted by $X_p$ and the general solution is

$$X = D + N_AV_1 + V_2N_{N_B} = D + N_AV_1 + V_2P_{B^*} = X_p + X_h.$$

Note that $P_{N_B}$ is the projection on $\overline{\mathcal{R}(N_B)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(N_B)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(I - P_{B^*})} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(B^*)}^\perp$, so $N_{N_B} = I - P_{N_B} = P_{B^*}$ (thus $P_{N_B} = I - N_{N_B} = N_B$).
Again, if there exist $X$ and $Y$ such that $AX + YB = C$, so $N_{A^*}YB = N_{A^*}C$ or $B^*Y^*N_{A^*} = C^*N_{A^*}$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{R}(N_{A^*}C)} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{R}(N_{A^*})} = \mathcal{R}(N_{A^*})$, $\mathcal{R}(C^*N_{A^*}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B^*)$, and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(N_{A^*})}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B)}$ are orthogonally complemented, the suppositions of Theorem 1.2 hold. Hence, $N_{A^*}YB = N_{A^*}C$ has a reduced solution $D'$ such that $\mathcal{R}(D') \subseteq \mathcal{N}(N_{A^*})^\perp = \mathcal{N}(A^*)$ and $\mathcal{R}(D'^*) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(B^*)^\perp$, which is denoted by $Y_p$ and the general solution is

$$Y = D' + N_{N_{A^*}}V_1 + V_2N_{B^*} = D' + P_AV_1 + V_2N_{B^*} = Y_p + Y_h.$$

□

*Remark* 3.4. If $A$ and $B$ are matrices, then $\mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(B^*)$ are closed and there exist the Moore-Penrose inverses of $A$ and $B^*$. In this case, if Equation (3.3) has a solution, then

$$N_{A^*}CN_B = N_{A^*}(AX + YB)N_B = 0.$$

Note that this condition is equivalent to (3.1), i.e. $(I - AA^\dagger)C(I - B^\dagger B) = 0$, which is consistent with [1, Theorem 1], by putting projections $P_A = AA^\dagger$ and $P_{B^*} = B^\dagger B$.

Now we consider the equation $AX+BY = C$. Fillmore and Williams proved an interesting result [9, Corollary 1] by employing the well-known Douglas theorem. The next theorem is a slight generalization of [9, Corollary 1] when the considered ranges are not closed but their norm-completions are orthogonally complemented.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E})$. Suppose that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B^*)}$ are orthogonally complemented in $\mathscr{E}$. If $A^*B = 0$, then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathcal{R}(C) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A) + \mathcal{R}(B)$;
(2) *There exist $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E})$ such that $AX + BY = C$, i.e., $AX + BY = C$ has a solution.*

*and if one of above statements holds, then*

$$CC^* \leq \lambda(AA^* + BB^*) \text{ for some } \lambda > 0.$$

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2)
Let $T = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $S = \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\hat{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X & W \\ Y & Z \end{bmatrix}$ be operators on $\mathscr{E} \oplus \mathscr{E}$. Since $A^*B = 0$, we have $T^*T = \begin{bmatrix} A^*A & 0 \\ 0 & B^*B \end{bmatrix}$. Hence $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*T)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*A)} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{R}(B^*B)}$. By [10, Proposition 3.7], $\overline{\mathcal{R}(S^*S)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(S^*)}$ for any $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E})$. We therefore have

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{R}(B^*)}. \tag{3.5}$$

Since $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B^*)}$ are orthogonally complemented, so $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)}$ is orthogonally complemented. Now we consider $T\hat{X} = S$. Due to Theorem 2.3, the equation $T\hat{X} = S$ has a reduced solution if $\mathcal{R}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(T)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)}$ is orthogonally complemented. Since $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)}$ is orthogonally complemented, and by (1), $\mathcal{R}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(T)$. Hence the equation $T\hat{X} = S$ has a reduced solution as follows:

$$\hat{X} = P_{T^*}T^{-1}S, \quad \hat{X}^*|_{\mathcal{N}(T)} = 0, \tag{3.6}$$

where $P_{T^*}$ is the projection onto $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)}$.
It follows from (3.5) that

$$P_{T^*} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{A^*} & 0 \\ 0 & P_{B^*} \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.7}$$

From (3.6), we conclude that $W = Z = 0$. Therefore, $AX + BY = C$ has a nontrivial solution.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is clear.
Now we assume that one of the above statements holds. It follows from by Theorem 2.3that

$$CC^* \leq \lambda(AA^* + BB^*)$$

for some $\lambda > 0$. □

The equation $AXA^* + BYB^* = C$ is a generalization of the equation $AXA^* = C$ that has been studied for matrices in [3, 5, 13] . Using Moore-Penrose inverse, Farid et al. [8] obtained its Hermitian solution in Hilbert $C^*$-module setup. The next theorem provides a sufficient condition for a nonzero solution of $AXA^* + BYB^* = 0$.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E})$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B^*)}$ be orthogonally complemented. If there exist $V_1, V_2$ and $V_3$ such that $\mathcal{R}(BV_1P_{A^*} + V_2N_A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(V_3^*N_B - AV_1^*P_{B^*}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B)$, then the equation $AXA^* + BYB^* = 0$ has nonzero solutions for $X$ and $Y$.*

*Proof.* Set $AX = BV_1P_{A^*} + V_2N_A$ and $YB^* = N_BV_3 - P_{B^*}V_1A^*$. Then

$$(BV_1P_{A^*} + V_2N_A)A^* + B(N_BV_3 - P_{B^*}V_1A^*) = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

It is therefore enough to solve $AX = BV_1P_{A^*}+V_2N_A$ and $YB^* = N_BV_3-P_{B^*}V_1A^*$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ is orthogonally complemented and $\mathcal{R}(BV_1P_{A^*} + V_2N_A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$, by utilizing true portion of [6, Theorem 1.1], we conclude that the equation $AX = BV_1P_{A^*} + V_2N_A$ has a reduced solution $X$. Similarly, since $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B^*)}$ is orthogonally complemented and $\mathcal{R}(V_3^*N_B - AV_1^*P_{B^*}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B)$, so $YB^* = N_BV_3 - P_{B^*}V_1A^*$ has a reduced solution $Y$. □

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E})$, and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B^*)}$ be orthogonally complemented. If $\mathcal{R}(B) = \mathcal{R}(A)$, then $AXA^*+BYB^* = 0$ has a nonzero solution.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to put $V_2 = A$, $V_3 = B^*$ and consider $V_1$ as an arbitrary operator in Theorem 3.6. □

The next theorem yields a solution of the equation $AXA^* + BYB^* = C$.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E})$. Suppose that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B^*)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B)}$ are orthogonally complemented. Suppose that $\mathcal{R}(C) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B)$, $\mathcal{R}(C^*) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(C^*P_A) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(B^*)$. The equation $AXA^* + BYB^* = C$ has a solution if and only if $\mathcal{R}(CN_{B^*}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(C^*N_{A^*}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B)$.*

*Proof.* Note that $P_BC^*P_A = 0$, since $\mathcal{R}(C^*P_A) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(B^*)$.

($\Longrightarrow$) We assume that there exist $X$ and $Y$ such that $AXA^* + BYB^* = C$. So $AX^*A^* + BY^*B^* = C^*$. By postmultiplying both sides of equation $AX^*A^* + BY^*B^* = C^*$ by $N_{A^*}$, we get

$$AX^*A^*N_{A^*} + BY^*B^*N_{A^*} = C^*N_{A^*}, \tag{3.9}$$

which gives rise to $BY^*B^*N_{A^*} = C^*N_{A^*}$. Hence $\mathcal{R}(C^*N_{A^*}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B)$. A similar argument yields that

$$AYA^*N_{B^*} = CN_{B^*}, \tag{3.10}$$

whence $\mathcal{R}(CN_{B^*}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$.

($\Longleftarrow$) By taking $\hat{X} = XA^*$ and $\hat{Y} = BY$, the equation $AXA^* + BYB^* = C$ is simplified to $A\hat{X} + \hat{Y}B^* = C$. We consider the latter equation. We have

$$\mathcal{R}(P_BC^*) = \mathcal{R}(P_BC^*(N_{A^*} + P_A)) = \mathcal{R}(P_BC^*N_{A^*} + P_BC^*P_A) = \mathcal{R}(P_BC^*N_{A^*}).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}(B) \supseteq \mathcal{R}(C^*N_{A^*}) &= \mathcal{R}((P_B + N_{B^*})C^*N_{A^*}) \\ &= \mathcal{R}(P_BC^*N_{A^*} + N_{B^*}C^*N_{A^*}) = \mathcal{R}(P_BC^*N_{A^*}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{R}(P_BC^*) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B)$. Note that $N_{B^*}C^*N_{A^*} = 0$, because $\mathcal{R}(C^*N_{A^*}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{R}(B)} = \mathcal{N}(N_{B^*})$.

Since $\mathcal{R}(CN_{B^*}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(P_BC^*) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B)$, hence the assumptions of Theorem 3.3 are fulfilled. Hence, $\hat{X} = P_{A^*}A^{-1}P_ACN_{B^*}$ and $\hat{Y^*} = P_{B^*}B^{-1}P_BC^*$ is a solution of $A\hat{X} + \hat{Y}B^* = C$. Thus we reach the equations

$$XA^* = P_{A^*}A^{-1}P_ACN_{B^*}, \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$Y^*B^* = P_{B^*}B^{-1}P_BC^*. \tag{3.12}$$

Since $\mathcal{R}(C^*) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$, hence we can apply Theorem 1.2 to Equation (3.11). Similarly, since $\mathcal{R}(C) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B)$, Equation (3.12) has a reduced solution according to Theorem 1.2. □

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let* $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E}), T = \begin{bmatrix} A & -B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ *and* $S = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. *Suppose that* $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)}$ *and* $\overline{\mathcal{R}(S^*)}$ *are orthogonally complemented and* $P$ *is the orthogonal projection of* $\mathscr{E} \oplus \mathscr{E}$ *onto* $\mathcal{N}(T)$. *If* $P\mathcal{N}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(S)$ *and* $\overline{\mathcal{R}(SPS^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ *is orthogonally complemented then there exist operators* $X, Y$ *and* $Z$ *in* $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E})$ *with* $X \geq 0$ *and* $Y \geq 0$ *such that*

$$\mathcal{R}(A) \cap \mathcal{R}(B) = \mathcal{R}(AX) + \mathcal{R}(AZ^*) = \mathcal{R}(BZ) + \mathcal{R}(BY)$$

*and*

$$\mathcal{R}(A) \cap \mathcal{R}(B) \supseteq \mathcal{R}((AXA^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}),\ \mathcal{R}(A) \cap \mathcal{R}(B) \supseteq \mathcal{R}((BYB^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

*Proof.* By hypothesis $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)}$ is orthogonally complemented. Hence $\mathcal{N}(T)$ is orthogonally complemented. Let $P = \begin{bmatrix} X & Z^* \\ Z & Y \end{bmatrix}$ be the orthogonal projection of $\mathscr{E} \oplus \mathscr{E}$ onto $\mathcal{N}(T)$. Since $TP = 0$, we have $AX = BZ$ and $AZ^* = BY$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{R}(A) \cap \mathcal{R}(B) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(AX) + \mathcal{R}(AZ^*).$$

Equality holds here. In fact, if $w \in \mathcal{R}(A) \cap \mathcal{R}(B)$, then $w = Au = Bv$. Hence $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}(T)$. It follows that $u = Xu + Z^*v$, so

$$w = Au \in \mathcal{R}(AX) + \mathcal{R}(AZ^*).$$

Now let $W = \begin{bmatrix} AX & AZ^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $W = \frac{1}{2}SP$. We show that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(W^*)}$ is orthogonally complemented. To this end, let $x \in \mathscr{E}$. Then there are $y_1 \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(S^*)}$ and $y_2 \in \mathcal{N}(S)$ such that $x = y_1 + y_2$. This gives $Px = Py_1 + Py_2$ and so $x = Py_1 + Py_2 + (I - P)x$, observe that $Py_1 \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(PS^*)}$ and $Py_2 + (I - P)x \in \mathcal{N}(SP)$ by virtue of $P\mathcal{N}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(S)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}(BZ)+\mathcal{R}(BY)\oplus 0 &= \mathcal{R}(AX)+\mathcal{R}(AZ^*)\oplus 0\\ &\qquad\qquad (\text{by } X^2+Z^*Z=X \text{ (deduced from } P^2=P))\\ &= \mathcal{R}(W)\\ &= \mathcal{R}(|W^*|) \qquad\qquad (\text{by Corollary2.6})\\ &= \mathcal{R}\left(\begin{bmatrix}(AXA^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}\right)\\ &= \mathcal{R}(AXA^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}\oplus 0\end{aligned}$$

The other relations can be proved in a similar manner. □

Employing the above lemma, we discuss the existence of nontrivial solutions for the equation $AXA^*+BYB^*=CZ$ having three unknown operators.

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let* $A,B,C\in\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E}), T=\begin{bmatrix}A & -B\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}$ *and* $S=\begin{bmatrix}A & B\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}$. *Suppose that* $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)}$ *and* $\overline{\mathcal{R}(S^*)}$ *are orthogonally complemented and* $P$ *is the orthogonal projection of* $\mathscr{E}\oplus\mathscr{E}$ *onto* $\mathcal{N}(T)$. *If* $P\mathcal{N}(S)\subseteq\mathcal{N}(S)$ *and* $\overline{\mathcal{R}(SPS^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ *is orthogonally complemented and* $0\neq\mathcal{R}(A)\cap\mathcal{R}(B)\subseteq\mathcal{R}(C)$, *then there exist non-zero operators* $X,Y\geq 0$ *in* $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E})$ *and a non-zero solution* $Z$ *in* $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E})$ *such that*

$$AXA^*+BYB^*=CZ. \tag{3.13}$$

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 3.9 that there are $X,Y\geq 0$ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{E})$ such that $\mathcal{R}(A)\cap\mathcal{R}(B)\supseteq\mathcal{R}((AXA^*)^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and $\mathcal{R}(A)\cap\mathcal{R}(B)\supseteq\mathcal{R}((BYB^*)^{\frac{1}{2}})$. Since $\mathcal{R}(A)\cap\mathcal{R}(B)\subseteq\mathcal{R}(C)$, we have $\mathcal{R}((AXA^*)^{\frac{1}{2}})\subseteq\mathcal{R}(C)$ and $\mathcal{R}((BYB^*)^{\frac{1}{2}})\subseteq\mathcal{R}(C)$. Noting to $\mathcal{R}(AXA^*)\subseteq\mathcal{R}((AXA^*)^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and $\mathcal{R}(BYB^*)\subseteq\mathcal{R}((BYB^*)^{\frac{1}{2}})$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{R}(AXA^*+BYB^*)\subseteq\mathcal{R}(AXA^*)+\mathcal{R}(BYB^*)\subseteq\mathcal{R}(C). \tag{3.14}$$

Employing Theorem 2.3, it is concluded that there exists a reduced solution $Z$ such that $AXA^*+BYB^*=CZ$. The assumption $\mathcal{R}(A)\cap\mathcal{R}(B)\neq 0$ yields that $X$ and $Y$ are not zero by Lemma 3.9. It follows from Theorem 2.3 that the reduced solution $Z$ is not zero too. □

## References


[1] J.K. Baksalary and R. Kala, *The matrix equation* $AX-YB=C$, Linear Algebra Appl. **25** (1979), 41–43.

[2] J.K. Baksalary and R. Kala, *The matrix equation* $AXB-CYD=E$, Linear Algebra Appl. **30** (1980), 141–147.

[3] X.W. Chang and J. Wang, *The symmetric solutions of the matrix equations* $AX+YA=C$, $AXA^T+BYB^T=C$ *and* $(A^TXA,B^TXB)=(C,D)$, Linear Algebra Appl. **179** (1993), 171–189.

[4] R.G. Douglas, *On majorization, factorization and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 413–416.
[5] Y. Deng and X. Hu, *On solutions of matrix equation* $AXA^T + BYB^T = C$, J. Comput. Math. **23** (2005), 17–26.
[6] X. Fang, J. Yu and H. Yao, *Solutions to operator equations on Hilbert* $C^*$*-modules*, Linear Algebra Appl. **431** (2009), 2142–2153.
[7] X. Fang, J. Yu, *Solutions to operator equations on Hilbert* $C^*$*-modules II*, Integral Equatations Operator Theory **68** (2010), 23–60.
[8] F.O. Farid, M.S. Moslehian, Q.-W. Wang and Z.-C. Wu, *On the Hermitian solutions to a system of adjointable operator equations*, Linear Algebra Appl. **437** (2012), 1854–1891.
[9] P.A. Fillmore and J.P. Williams, *On Operator Ranges*, Advances in Math. **7** (1971), 254–281.
[10] E.C. Lance, *Hilbert* $C^*$*-modules: A Toolkit for Operator Algebraists*, Cambridge University Press, Oxford, 1995.
[11] Z. Mousavi, F. Mirzapour and M.S. Moslehian, *Positive definite solutions of certain nonlinear matrix equations*, Oper. Matrices **10** (2016), 113–126.
[12] Q. Xu and L. Sheng, *Positive semi-definite matrices of adjointable operators on Hilbert* $C^*$*-modules*, Linear Algebra Appl. **428** (2008), No. 4, 992–1000.
[13] G. Xu, M. Wei and D. Zheng, *On solutions of matrix equation* $AXB + CYD = F$, Linear Algebra Appl. **279** (1998), 93–109.

(Z. Mousavi) Department of Engineering, Abhar Branch, Islamic Azad University, Abhar, Iran.
*E-mail address*: mousavi.z@abhariau.ac.ir

(R. Eskandari) Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Farhangian University, Tehran, Iran.
*E-mail address*: eskandarirasoul@yahoo.com

(M.S. Moslehian) Department of Pure Mathematics, Center of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures (CEAAS), Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P. O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran.
*E-mail address*: moslehian@um.ac.ir and moslehian@member.ams.org

(F. Mirzapour) Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Zanjan, P.O. Box 45195-313, Zanjan, Iran.
*E-mail address*: f.mirza@znu.ac.ir